1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
2	Civil No. 2:17-md-2789-CCC
3	TN DE DROEON DIMD INVIEDIEDD . EDANGGDIDE OF DROGERDINGS
4	IN RE PROTON-PUMP INHIBITOR : TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION : - Status Conference -
5	(No. II) x
6	Newark, New Jersey October 11, 2019
7	
8	
9	BEFORE:
10	THE HONORABLE CLAIRE C. CECCHI, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	Pursuant to Section 753 Title 28 United States Code, the following transcript is certified to be an accurate record as
14	taken stenographically in the above entitled proceedings.
15	
16	S/WALTER J. PERELLI
17	
18	WALTER J. PERELLI, CCR, RM, CRR Official Court Repoter
19	U.S. District Court
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS:
3	SEEGER WEISS LLP BY: CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER, ESQ.
4	JEFFREY GRAND, ESQ.
5	DOUGLAS & LONDON, P.C. BY: MICHAEL A. LONDON, ESQ.
6	STEPHANIE O'CONNOR, ESQ.
7	AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: JENNIFER M. HOEKSTRA, ESQ.
8	WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
9	BY: PAUL J. PENNOCK, ESQ. JONATHAN M. SEDGH, ESQ.
10	
11	WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A. BY: DANIEL R. LAPINSKI, ESQ.
12	
13	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS:
14	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
15	BY: ARTHUR E. BROWN, ESQ. MATTHEW J. DOUGLAS, ESQ. - and -
16	McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP BY: GREGORY L. HINDY, ESQ.
17	Attorneys for Defendant AstraZeneca
18	ICE MILLER LLP BY: AMY K. FISHER, ESQ.
19	Attorneys for Defendant AstraZeneca and Merck
20	REED SMITH LLP BY: STEPHEN J. McCONNELL, ESQ.
21	JESSE ASH, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendants GSK and Novartis Consumer Health
22	riocorrie, b ror bereiradires estraria riovarers consumer ricareir
23	SILLS, CUMMIS & GROSS, PC BY: CHARLES J. FALLETTA, ESQ.
24	Attorneys for Defendant Novartis Entities

25

```
1
           ULMER & BERNE LLP
           BY: K.C. GREEN, ESQ.
 2
                GINA M. SAELINGER, ESQ.
           Attorneys for Defendant Procter & Gamble Entities
 3
           DLA PIPER
 4
           BY: LOREN H. BROWN, ESQ.
                MATTHEW A. HOLIAN, ESQ.
                STEPHEN C. MATTHEWS, ESQ.
 5
           Attorneys for Pfizer and Wyeth
 6
           VENABLE LLP
 7
           BY: CRAIG A. THOMPSON, ESQ.
               - and -
 8
           TUCKER ELLIS LLP
           BY: SHERRY A. KNUTSON, ESQ.
 9
               - and -
           SILLS, CUMMIS & GROSS, PC
10
           BY: BETH A. ROSE, ESQ.
               - and -
11
           Attorneys for Takeda and Abbott
12
           GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
           BY: MARISSA BANEZ, ESQ.
13
           Attorneys for Salix and Santarus
14
       ALSO IN ATTENDANCE
15
16
           ELZUFON AUSTIN & MONDELL, P.A.
           BY: JOHN A. ELZUFON, ESQ.
17
           Special Master (Delaware)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- THE COURT: We're here on PPI, 17-md-17-2789.
- 2 Let's have appearances.
- 3 MR. SEEGER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Chris Seeger
- 4 for Plaintiffs.
- 5 MS. O'CONNOR: Good afternoon, Judge. Stephanie
- 6 O'Connor for the Plaintiffs.
- 7 MR. GRAND: Good afternoon, your Honor. Jeffrey Grand
- 8 for the Plaintiffs.
- 9 MR. PENNOCK: Paul Pennock for the Plaintiffs, your
- 10 Honor.
- MR. LONDON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Michael
- 12 London for the Plaintiffs.
- MR. SEDGH: Good afternoon, your Honor. Jonathan
- 14 Sedgh for the Plaintiffs.
- MS. LIPINSKI: Good afternoon, your Honor. Daniel
- 16 Lapinski for the Plaintiffs.
- MS. HOEKSTRA: Jennifer Hoekstra for Plaintiffs. Good
- 18 afternoon, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. BROWN: Arthur Brown for AstraZeneca.
- MR. HINDY: Greg Hindy, McCarter & English, for
- 22 AstraZeneca.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Good afternoon, your Honor. Matthew
- 24 Douglas for AstraZeneca.
- MS. FISHER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Amy Fisher

- 1 from Ice Miller for AstraZeneca and Merck.
- MR. ASH: Good afternoon, your Honor. Jesse Ash for
- 3 GSK.
- 4 MR. McCONNELL: Good afternoon, your Honor. Steve
- 5 McConnell on behalf of GSK.
- 6 MR. GREEN: K.C. Green for the Proctor & Gamble
- 7 entities.
- 8 MR. L. BROWN: Hello, your Honor. Loren Brown for
- 9 Pfizer.
- 10 MR. HOLIAN: Matthew Holian for Pfizer.
- MR. MATTHEWS: Stephen Matthews for Pfizer.
- MS. KNUTSON: Sherry Knutson for Takeda and Abbott.
- MR. THOMPSON: Craig Thompson for Takeda and Abbott.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you so much.
- 15 Anyone else?
- MR. ELZUFON: Good afternoon. John Elzufon, Delaware
- 17 Special Master. As usual, it's always good to be here.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Good to have you.
- 19 Anyone else?
- MS. SAELINGER: Gina Saelinger from Ulmer & Berne for
- 21 Proctor & Gamble.
- MS. BANEZ: Marissa Benez for Defendants Salix and
- 23 Santarus.
- MR. FELETTA: Charles Feletta on behalf of the
- Novartis Defendants, from Sills Cummis.

```
1
                THE COURT: Anyone else?
 2
                Anyone on the telephone?
 3
                THE DEPUTY CLERK: They can't hear you, Judge.
 4
                Counsel, do we have anyone on the line? Hello.
                Counsel?
 5
 6
                THE COURT: Hello. Anyone on the phone line?
 7
                We'll just wait.
                (The Court and the Deputy Clerk confer off the
 8
       record.)
 9
10
                THE COURT: In any event, I'd like to bring us up to
11
       date.
12
                We've had some conferences off record today which I
13
       think were very productive. I'd like to go through each of the
14
       subject matters that we've gone through.
15
                The first issue I would like to discuss is the
       bellwether plan. And we have been addressing the bellwether
16
17
       plan, the proposed bellwether plan for I believe 15 months at
18
       this point, and we have discussed this matter over eight status
19
       conferences. And I'm pleased today that we made what I believe
20
       to be significant progress in that regard. I would like to be
21
       able to go through the framework we have for the proposed plan.
                And I think we're going to have AstraZeneca put that
22
23
       onto the record, please, Mr. Brown.
24
                MR. BROWN:
                           Thank you, your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Thank you.

25

- 1 MR. BROWN: The program we discussed today and the purpose of this discussion is to lay the elements out --2 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MR. BROWN: -- on the record. 5 There have been some preliminary discussions with 6 clients, but I know we'll hear on this later today, we'll have the official word next week. The construct --7 8 THE COURT: Before you go any further, each individual party is going to call and speak to my Deputy or to my Law 9 10 Clerk, Brian, by close of business on Wednesday and let us know 11 if the plan is acceptable to you. Thank you. 12 MR. BROWN: And with that, the components, as I 13 understand it, are that from the cases to be eligible there 14 would need to be Stage 1 substantially complete as the CMO defines those terms as to all Defendants as of May 31st, 2019. 15 My understanding, that's approximately 1250 cases. 16 17 From that group, a random sample of 200 cases will be generated with the Plaintiffs able to select an additional five 18 19 picks and the Defendants able to pick an additional five cases, 20 for a total pool I believe of 210 cases.
- 21 From the 210 cases that are substantially complete 22 Stage 1 as to all Defendants, each side would pick 16 cases and 23 each side would have 6 strikes. The result would be a 24 bellwether pool of 20 total cases.
- The proposal would include a lexicon waiver for all

parties, Plaintiffs and Defendants, of a first trial which
would be a non Jersey trial; a second trial, which would be a

New Jersey trial; and a waiver for trials 3 through 6, and the
Court will make a determination on those cases that should be
tried.

The one issue is the replenishment procedure which is still under discussion. The Plaintiffs, as I understand it -- and Chris can confirm this -- is that a Defendant's pick is dismissed as part of the bellwether pool. The Defendants would be able to supplement or replace that case. If the Defendants' position that some of this -- if work is ongoing in these cases, it was our request that we be able to get an additional strike for any case dismissed. The Court gave us some comfort and will give us some guidance on this that it's your hope that cases wouldn't be dismissed in that manner. But as its stands right now, the replenishment procedure would be Defendants get to add a case if the Plaintiffs dismiss one of their picks.

THE COURT: Yes. And I'm in agreement that I certainly hope that we won't be in a situation where we're going through the entire list of cases and seeking their dismissal. So I understand your position. At the same time, I think that Plaintiffs had an apt suggestion for trying to resolve this in terms of the Defendants' pick going into the place of the dismissed case.

Let me ask Plaintiffs: Is that indeed the suggestion?

- MR. SEEGER: Yes, your Honor. Obviously there are circumstances that can come up along the way that if we think needs the Court's attention, we bring it up. But generally,
- THE COURT: And I'm hopeful that we avoid the
 difficulty that we're anticipating certainly, but I think the
 procedure should be able to protect that and protect the record
 here.
- 9 All right. Yes.

yes, that's the proposal.

4

- MR. GRAND: I spoke with Mr. Brown before we went on
 the record. The Plaintiffs do want to see the list of the sort
 of 15 -- approximately 1500 cases so we can verify. Because
 there's typically some of this agreement between parties about
 what's substantial and complete, and we just want to make sure
 that our records jibe.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I think Mr. Grand was referring to 1250 cases.
- 18 MR. GRAND: Yes.
- MR. BROWN: And that is the number that we see that
 are substantially complete Stage 1 that all Defendants, May
 31st, we have agreed we'll try to put together that list.
- There may some disagreement. We may need to bring issues back to the Court.
- 24 THE COURT: And that's fine, I'm happy to go through 25 it if there are any issues. But I think you all can take a

- look at the list, make sure that's the list. If there are any
- 2 additions or subtractions, obviously you can speak to one
- another. If there's something significant, you can give me a
- 4 call and I'd be happy to get on the phone with you.
- With that statement made of what the proposal is, is
- 6 everyone clear or does anyone have any questions? Because I
- 7 want to make sure that when you go back to your clients you
- 8 understand what we're addressing. Everyone good?
- 9 Come forward to the microphone. You can say your
- name, who your client is and what your statement is.
- MS. BANEZ: Marissa Banez on behalf of Salix and
- 12 Santarus.
- In the back we had some discussions regarding the
- status of my client. And I would request that Mr. Seeger come
- and state for the record what he had said behind closed doors.
- MR. SEEGER: Why don't you say it and I'll agree to
- it. Because I'm not sure --
- MS. BANEZ: Please.
- MR. SEEGER: What are you talking about?
- MS. BANEZ: Okay. What he said is --
- MR. SEEGER: I say a lot.
- (Laughter.)
- MS. BANEZ: -- that my clients would not be part of
- 24 the bellwether trial.
- MR. SEEGER: Oh, yes. We don't have any intention of

- 1 picking her client in the first --
- MS. BANEZ: Thank you.
- 3 THE COURT: But in terms of anything that we have as
- far as the framework for the bellwether trials, every
- 5 defendant, every single defendant is going to be going back to
- 6 their clients to present the plan to them and then to contact
- 7 us. And again, I reiterate, by next Wednesday close of
- 8 business, you're going to call us and just leave a quick
- 9 message with Jacquie, my Deputy, or Brian, my Law Clerk, and
- 10 let them know what your client's position is.
- 11 And since I've spoken to you all and I've talked to
- 12 you at length about this, I'm hopeful we have a plan in place.
- I recognize that you have to get final approval on these
- issues, but I'm hopeful that we are headed in the right
- direction and that ultimately we have full and final and formal
- agreement to the plan as it's been detailed today. So I'll
- 17 wait to hear from you.
- MR. BROWN: And, your Honor, as a follow-on to the
- conceptual bellwether program which I just described on the
- record, there's a related issue we talked about and one that
- 21 hasn't been addressed, which is the schedule.
- THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.
- MR. BROWN: The schedule is a very important issue for
- Defendants. We do not want to be rushed through what is
- 25 probably the most important part of this case in the bellwether

- 1 program if we do, in fact, reach an agreement next Wednesday.
- 2 I've taken on the task of trying to come up with a new
- 3 schedule, which I'll do in the next couple of weeks, and be
- 4 prepared to discuss it at the next conference. I'll confer
- 5 with co-Defendants and I'm happy to confer with Mr. Seeger on
- 6 this. But that's going to be the next issue, because the
- 7 Defendants need ample time to be able to work up the bellwether
- 8 cases and not be rushed through a process that is --
- 9 THE COURT: I understand, and I'm ready to be
- 10 flexible. I understand that.
- 11 So what I think you can do is you can take up the last
- 12 plan that we had worked up prior to the issue of selecting and
- determining the method for selecting bellwethers. You can do
- an overlay onto that in terms of bringing it up to date, and
- then you can talk to Plaintiffs about it, you can talk to the
- remaining Defendants about it, present it to me, and I think we
- 17 can go through it.
- MR. BROWN: That makes sense.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay, great. Thank you.
- When do you want submit that by? Do you want to have
- 21 a date for that?
- MR. BROWN: I haven't had a chance to speak to the
- co-Defendants, but I think we'll be prepared to submit
- something in advance of the next conference and so we can
- 25 discuss that. These issues are inextricably linked in some

- 1 ways. So I trust the Court's advice that we won't be rushed.
- 2 But I think we can discuss it, submit it a week before the next
- 3 conference and discuss it at the conference.
- 4 MR. SEEGER: Your Honor, I like the idea to at least
- 5 get it in before the conference so your Honor has time to weigh
- 6 in if there are disputes at the conference. Now that the
- 7 bellwether thing has been put aside, I think we can move --
- 8 THE COURT: I think we're moving forward. I'm
- 9 crossing my fingers.
- The next issue, I just want to touch briefly on the
- issue of a single deposition that we had discussed off record.
- 12 If someone wants to place what we discussed off record on right
- now, that would be helpful.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you, your Honor. Matthew Douglas
- on behalf of AstraZeneca.
- As we discussed in the back, the AstraZeneca employee
- 17 Ula Helgren has a significant medical condition that will
- require substantial accommodation, including breaks which may
- 19 be more frequent and longer than normal, time limits on any
- 20 particular day on the deposition, and significantly shorter
- 21 days most likely, but some of this is going to have to play out
- realtime depending on how she does. Because as the information
- 23 shows that we discussed with the Court -- it's personal
- information, I'm not going to go into details on the record --
- THE COURT: That's fine, that's fine.

```
1
                MR. DOUGLAS: -- but there are significant
 2
       limitations. And the Plaintiffs have agreed to make those
       accommodations in order for the deposition to go forward on
 3
 4
       October 25th.
 5
                THE COURT: Very well. And I think that that should
      be fine to go forward. They understand the deponent's
 6
 7
       limitations, they'll be mindful of those limitations. But
 8
       since the deponent is in Sweden and there will be another
 9
       deposition taking place, I think it makes sense to continue
10
       with the depositions. Hopefully they'll be completed.
11
                Anything from the Plaintiffs on that?
12
                MS. O'CONNOR: No, your Honor. You said it quite
      well. Thank you.
13
14
                THE COURT:
                           Thank you very much. All right.
15
               MR. DOUGLAS:
                              Thank you, your Honor.
                THE COURT: Let's move on to motions to dismiss.
16
17
               MR. HINDY: Good afternoon, your Honor.
18
                THE COURT: Mr. Hindy.
19
               MR. HINDY: Greg Hindy on behalf of AstraZeneca.
20
                As you know, we've been talking about dismissing, or
21
       the process for dismissing cases that were on the Tolling
                  There are two buckets we talked about. There are
22
      Agreement.
23
       609 that I think all parties agree are ripe for dismissal and
24
      we had proposed dismissing without prejudice. I think the
25
       Court didn't agree with that. So what we ended up doing is
```

- 1 agreeing to discuss an order to show cause process that we will
- 2 meet-and-confer with Plaintiffs' counsel on the 609 that's due
- on October 18th --
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 MR. HINDY: -- and then with respect to the 4956
- 6 cases, to do the same type of order to show cause process, and
- 7 that order to show cause will be due a week later, on October
- 8 25th.
- 9 THE COURT: That sounds exactly right, according to my
- 10 notes.
- 11 Anything from the Plaintiffs on that?
- MR. GRAND: No, your Honor.
- MR. LONDON: Michael London, your Honor.
- I believe only that we would submit the proposed
- orders to show cause to the Court that day. The responses to
- the orders to show cause are not due on the 18th and the 25th.
- 17 THE COURT: That's correct. You're going to
- meet-and-confer and submit a proposed order to show cause, one
- on the 18th and one the next week on I guess the 24th. Right?
- MR. HINDY: The 25th.
- 21 THE COURT: The 25th, I'm sorry.
- MR. LONDON: Yes.
- THE COURT: And then that's all you're going to do
- according to that schedule.
- MR. LONDON: Yes. Thank you.

- 1 THE COURT: All right. Anything additional?
- 2 MR. BROWN: Nothing from AstraZeneca.
- THE COURT: Excellent. Anything, any Defendants?
- 4 Any issue issues? No?
- 5 Anything from the Plaintiffs?
- 6 MR. SEEGER: No, your Honor.
- 7 MS. O'CONNOR: No.
- 8 THE COURT: No? All right.
- 9 Well, I want to thank everyone for their patience
- through this entire process, and particularly I want to thank
- 11 you for your good faith in working through the issues that we
- had in front of us. I think we made a tremendously amount of
- progress today, as I said, and I'm very hopeful we'll be able
- to move beyond this point. And I'm certainly available to
- speak with anyone if there are any issues that arise.
- MR. SEEGER: Yes. Could we have the date for the next
- 17 conference?
- THE COURT: We do have a date. The date is November
- 19 20th, at 1 o'clock.
- Is that date still okay?
- MR. BROWN: Yes, it's still is okay.
- THE COURT: I think we have dates out into the future
- as well. We have another one for December still, December
- 17th, as well. We also have December 17th, at 11.
- All right. With that, everyone, have a great weekend.

```
1
       It's a long weekend. Enjoy. You've earned it. Take care.
 2
                MS. FISHER: Thank you, Judge.
 3
                THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
 4
                (Conclusion of proceedings.)
 5
                                     00000
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```